

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,652	07/24/2001	Vladimir Segal	30-5004 DIV2	6609	
759	07/22/2003				
DAVID G. LATWESEN, PH.D.			EXAMINER		
WELLS, ST. JOHN, ROBERTS, GREGORY & MATKIN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE,			WILKINS III, HARRY D		
SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5, 0, <u>0</u> ,,	. 99201 3020		1742		
•			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	. 17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercisine of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed each six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above its est ban thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above its tab an thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Responsive to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is approved by disapproved by the Examiner.				a
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Harry D Wilkins, III 1742 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address P riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edealesions of term may be evalible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallue to reply within the set or extended price of the communication. Fallue to reply within the set or extended price of the reply villation the set of the set of extended price of the reply villation to set the set of the se		09/912,652	SEGAL ET AL	/
Priod for Reply A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be availed under the provisions of 30 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (e) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explice SIX (f) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explice SIX (f) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office alter than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any scanned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed of naccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are. a) secent calculation. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. 11 approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11 approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) some ° c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the prior	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
P riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In pervent, however, may a reply be timely filled without the XIV (SMOTHS) from the mailing date of this communication. The Mailing Month of the Communication and the XIV (SMOTHS) from the mailing date of this communication. The Mailing of the Communication and the XIV (SMOTHS) from the mailing date of this communication. The XIV (SMOTHS) from the mailing date of this communication is provided by the SMOTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reput with the set of catendary period or catendary period or catendary period or catendary period will apply and will expire SIX (SMOTHS) from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled. The XIV (SMOTHS) is communication. The XIV (SMOTHS) is communication and provided by the Office later than three monities after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled. The XIV (SMOTHS) is communication. The XIV (SMOTHS) is communication and the XIV (SMOTHS) is communication. The XIV (SMOTHS) is communication and XIV (SMOTHS) is communication. The XIV (SMOTHS) is commu		-		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SR (is) Months from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (i) Months the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) Months the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) Months the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) Months the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) Months the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three mannets after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected to. 10) The proposed drawing objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing orrection filed on is a provisional approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority		pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2003 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received: 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	<u> </u>	June 2003		
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
4) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matte		e merits is
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	<u> </u>	on.		
6) Claim(s) 37-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
### Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-53</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	r election requirement.\	•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• *
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			approved by the Examine	r.'
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	<u> </u>	•		
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 			(1)	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 	<u> </u>	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 		, priemly amade of c.e.e., 3	(i).	
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s have been received.		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 			olication No	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).
·		* *		
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 16.	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf		

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1 June 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 37-39, 41, 46-49 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segal (US 5,513,512) in view of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys".

Segal teaches (see col 3,lines 19-34 and 38-55) that equal channel angular extrusion (ECAE) had been applied to cast alloys. Segal goes on to teach that previously there was a problem in that there was no method of determining the final alloy's structure and texture, but that the invention provides a method of plastic deformation (i.e.-ECAE) that obtains various types of structures and textures. Segal teaches (see col 4, lines 30-49) that for a given workpiece, three main directions are selected which determines its orientation during each passage (i.e.-defining a route).

Art Unit: 1742

Therefore, Segal teaches a method for controlling the texture of a cast material alloy where the method includes providing a cast material, defining an ECAE route for defining predetermined shear planes and crystallographic directions in the alloy, selecting a route and subjecting the alloy to a number of passes through the selected routes.

Segal does not teach that prior to the ECAE, the alloy is treated by one of homogenizing, hot forging and solutionizing.

"Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" teaches (see page 76, 1st-2nd column) that a homogenizing heat treatment is applied to non-ferrous cast materials in order to remove segregation in the alloy (i.e.- to insure a homogeneous texture).

Therefore, it would have been within the expected skill of a routineer in the art to have applied homogenization, as taught by "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys", to the alloy of Segal before ECAE processing in order to provide a starting material with as little segregation as possible.

Regarding claim 38, Segal does not teach that after the processing step, the alloy is subjected to further processing. "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" teaches (see page 230, 2nd column and figure 2) that a heat treatment is applied to non-ferrous workpieces that reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the material of Segal to have residual stresses due to the amount of deformation caused by the ECAE. "Stress relieving" and "recovery annealing" are synonyms.

Art Unit: 1742

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied the stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" to the material of Segal because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. Time and temperature were known to be result effective variables (see "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" at page 230, figure 2), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized these process parameters to achieve the proper relief of stresses.

Regarding claim 39, because time and temperature were known to be result effective variables (see "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" at page 230, figure 2), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the stress relieving in two steps at different temperatures to achieve the final desired recovery/recrystallization.

Regarding claim 41, because Segal teaches how to determine the final texture and grain size of the alloy, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the process of Segal to inherently possess further steps of ECAE in order to create the desired texture, uniform grain size and texture strength for the alloy.

Regarding claims 46-49, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied the stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" to the material of Segal because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. Time and temperature were known to be result effective variables (see "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" at page 230, figure 2), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized these process parameters to achieve the proper relief of stresses.

Art Unit: 1742

Regarding claim 53, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied the stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" to the final material of Segal because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. "Stress relieving" and "recovery annealing" are synonyms.

4. Claims 40, 42-45 and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segal (US 5,513,512) in view of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" and further in view of Worcester et al (US 5,194,101).

The teachings of Segal and "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" are discussed above in paragraph no. 3.

Segal does not teach that the alloy is subjected to intermediate annealing and that after the processing step, the alloy is subjected to further processing.

"Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" teaches (see page 230, 2nd column and figure 2) that a heat treatment is applied to non-ferrous workpieces that reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the material of Segal to have residual stresses due to the amount of deformation caused by the ECAE. "Stress relieving" and "recovery annealing" are synonyms.

Worcester et al teach (see col. 2, lines 66-68 and claim 1) that stress relief annealing was applied in the metallurgical arts as an intermediate step during a process of several cold deformation steps.

Art Unit: 1742

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied an intermediate stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" as taught by Worcester et al to the material of Segal because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys, thereby reducing the strength/hardness of the alloy, thereby improving workability (for support see figure 2 on page 230 of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys"). Time and temperature were known to be result effective variables (see figure 2 on page 230 of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys"), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized these process parameters to achieve the proper relief of stresses. It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied a stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" after the final extrusion step because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. The stress relieving is a post-extrusion process that creates the texture, grain size and texture strength of the alloy.

Regarding claims 50 and 51, "stress relieving" and "recovery annealing" are synonyms. Thus, the intermediate and post-extrusion annealing treatments are recovery annealing treatments.

Regarding claim 52, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied an intermediate stress relieving of "Nickel, Cobalt and Their Alloys" as taught by Worcester et al to the material of Segal because the stress relieving reduces stresses in work hardened alloys without producing a recrystallized grain structure. "Stress relieving" and "recovery annealing" are synonyms.

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 37-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 703-305-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10:00am-8:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Harry D Wilkins, III Examiner

Art Unit 1742

ROY KING P

SUPERVISORY PATE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER TO

hdw July 16, 2003